France shows what has gone wrong in the UK and US
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The outcome of the French presidential election, in which the Republican Francois Fillon, Front National’s Marine Le Pen, and the Socialist Party will be vying for position in April 2017, could have wide reaching implications for public health in Europe. Concerns over living standards in France will influence the vote—could income inequality and poor health also influence voting, as it seems to have done in the UK referendum and the US presidential election? Marine Le Pen has already argued that Donald Trump’s victory in the US is a precursor to her own impending success.1

The strongest predictor of a rise in Republican voting for Donald Trump was a decline in the health of the residents in their local area. An age adjusted index showing falls in local life expectancy, obesity, and diabetes—as well as heavy drinking and lack of regular physical activity—best predicted those areas that swung the most to Trump.2
Donald Trump repeatedly cited the UK Brexit vote as a precedent, suggesting that he could win “Brexit times ten.”³ In the UK, self reported health has fallen each year since 2010, and in the year to June 2015, UK death rates rose for all age groups apart from people aged 25-29 and younger than 10.⁴ Public health spending was a key issue in both the Brexit debate⁵ and the US presidential election.⁶ In the UK, Leave voters were more likely than Remain voters to believe that wealth was unfairly distributed, especially those on lower incomes.⁷

However, France is different. By 2020 France is set to spend 54% of its gross domestic product on public services, compared with 36% in the UK and 35% in the US (fig 1⇓).⁸
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In 2015, the Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD) identified the US and UK as two of the most unequal affluent countries in the world in its database of 34 countries.10 The US ranked fourth, behind Chile, Mexico, and Turkey, and the UK sixth, with Israel in fifth place. By contrast, income inequality in France is below the average for all OECD countries combined. This is because the richest French citizens take a much smaller proportion of their country’s total income compared with those in the US or the UK.

The Paris School of Economics has maintained the World Wealth and Income database since 2011.11 The most extensive and consistent dataset of the world’s best paid individuals constructed from tax records, it shows that in 2007—the year before the current financial crisis began—the highest remunerated 1% of people paying taxes in the US took 18.3% of all available income in that country (or 23.5% if capital gains—non-employment income—are included), and in the UK they took 15.4%. In France, the same group took just 9.1% of all available income.

The World Bank reports that since 1960, life expectancy has risen by nine years (to 79 years) in the US, by 10 years (to 81) in the UK, and by 12 years (to 82) in France.12 In France income inequalities are lower than they were in 1960, when their highest paid 1% took 9.7% of all income and France was more unequal than both the US and the UK.
Today, France is a far more equitable country than either the US or the UK. Its people are more productive, and the country spends more on public services, including health, which has improved faster than in the UK or US. But in 2015, deaths in France rose by more than 40,000 compared with the year before, and during the June heatwave that year, “thousands of patients waited on stretchers.”

Right wing politicians in France will try to paint the country as a failed state in need of drastic reform in the weeks to come. In reality, France shows how the UK and US have gone wrong.

France is no utopia, but compared with the UK, it has six more doctors to treat every 10,000 patients (21% more per person), 35 more hospital beds per 10,000 people (130% more per person), and people stay in hospital for less time on average (5.6 days instead of 6.9 days). The productivity of those in work is higher, partly because health is better and people are not forced into low paid employment. There is a clear and present danger that leading French politicians will seek to portray their country as a failing state in the race for votes this year. But if that can be avoided then we should not assume that the inequality and poor health that helped get Brexit over the line and Trump into power will necessarily play out that way in France. Facts, and how they are presented, will matter more than ever before.
Footnotes
Competing interests: I have read and understood BMJ policy on declaration of interests and have no relevant interests to declare. Provenance and peer review: Commissioned, not externally peer reviewed.

References


